

### Domestic Violence among Ever Married Women of Reproductive Age Group in a Rural Area of Karnataka: A Cross Sectional Study

Radha Ramaiah<sup>1</sup>, Srividya Jayarama<sup>2</sup>

### ABSTRACT

**Financial Support:** None declared **Conflict of Interest:** None declared **Copy Right:** The Journal retains the copyrights of this article. However, reproduction of this article in the part or total in any form is permissible with due acknowledgement of the source.

#### How to cite this article:

Ramaiah R, Jayarama S. Domestic Violence among Ever Married Women of Reproductive Age Group in a Rural Area of Karnataka: A Cross Sectional Study. Ntl J Community Med 2017; 8(3):139142.

#### Author's Affiliation:

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine; <sup>2</sup>Post Graduate Student, Department of Community Medicine, Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences, Bellur, Karnataka, India

**Correspondence:** Dr. Radha Ramaiah docradha@rediffmail.com

Date of Submission: 05-02-17 Date of Acceptance: 10-03-17 Date of Publication: 31-03-17 **Background:** Domestic violence is a widespread social evil and continues to be a major public health problem. Domestic violence by marital partners is the most common type of violence against women. This not only has serious impact on their physical, mental health but also impedes women's socio-economic development.

**Objectives**: 1. To determine the prevalence of domestic violence among the ever married women in reproductive age group. 2. To identify the types of domestic violence and factors associated with it.

**Methodology**: A Community based, cross sectional study was conducted in Javarnahalli, a rural field practice area of AIMS, BG Nagara, Mandya. Study population constituted all 186 married women of reproductive age group (15-49 years) residing in Javarnahalli. Ethical committee approval, informed consent from study participants was taken. A pre designed, pretested structured questionnaire was used for data collection. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 20.

**Results**: The overall prevalence of domestic violence was 54.3%. 42.1% suffered from both current and lifetime physical and psychological violence. 48% suffered from both current and sexual violence.

**Conclusion**: In this context, more social support for women, community awareness, making women self reliant by increasing their literacy levels can be recommended.

**Keywords**: Domestic violence, ever married women, reproductive age group.

#### INTRODUCTION

Domestic violence is a global issue and continues to be a widespread, deeply rooted social evil. Domestic violence described as the power, misused by one adult in a relationship, to control another. Most commonly the victims are women and the perpetrators are their husbands.

World Health Organisation has defined domestic violence as "the range of sexually, psychologically

and physically coercive acts used against adult and adolescent women by current or former male intimate partners.<sup>1,2</sup>

According to WHO, globally more than one-third of women suffer physical and sexual violence, with a lifetime prevalence of violence ranging from 10% to 69% from different population survey.<sup>3,4</sup>

In India, National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3), reported a burden of domestic violence as 37%

among ever married women in the reproductive age group.<sup>5</sup>

Domestic violence not only has serious impact on physical, mental health of women but also impedes socio economic development of a country, in the form of loss of women's labor hours and increased health care costs.<sup>6</sup>

Domestic violence varies with the local social norms and literacy level of women, hence it is important to assess the problem of domestic violence in a given geographical region for initiating supporting measures.<sup>7</sup>

This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of domestic violence and identify factors associated with domestic violence in a selected rural area of Karnataka.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Community based, Cross sectional study was conducted in Javarnahalli, one of the rural field practice area of Department of Community Medicine, AIMS, B.G. Nagara, Karnataka, from June 2016 to Nov 2016.

The study subjects constituted ever married women of reproductive age group (15-49 years) residing in the study area. The eligible participants were initially contacted during one of the Village Health and Nutrition day conducted in the local anganwadi centre and were explained about the study. Out of 198 eligible participants in the study area, 12 refused to give informed consent for the study participation.

So, the study subjects constituted all 186 married women of reproductive age group (15-49 yrs) residing in the study area, who gave written informed consent.

The list of all ever married women in reproductive age group was obtained from the family folder maintained at PHC.

**Method of data collection**: Data was collected using predesigned, pretested, structured questionnaire prepared in local language.

Institutional ethical committee approval, informed consent from the study participants was taken and anonymity, confidentiality was ensured.

For study participants, who found difficulty in filling the questionnaire, a face to face interview was conducted in the absence of their husband, with the help of local anganwadi worker. Their responses was audio recorded and it was reconfirmed.

Study participants who were hesitant and did not respond during the initial contact, were subsequently contacted during village health and nutrition day conducted at the local anganwadi centre.

In this study, the presence of domestic violence in the last 1 year constituted current violence and the presence of domestic violence anytime in their lifetime, but before 1 year constituted lifetime violence.

In the study, Domestic violence constituted violence against women by her husband or in-laws, the form of: slapping, hitting, beating, kicking, head banging, pulling hair (Physical violence) insulting, blaming, threatening (Psychological violence); forced sex (sexual violence).

Statistical test like Pearson's chi-square was used and analysis was done using SPSS Version 20.

#### RESULTS

In the present study, data was collected from 186 ever married women in the reproductive age group (mean age:  $26.32 \pm 4.34$  years). Mean age of their husbands was  $32.14 \pm 5.47$  years.

Among the study participants , 28.9% were illiterate , 34% had completed primary education, 21.9% studied upto middle school, 9.6% passed secondary level and 6% studied upto higher secondary and above. 52.5% of the study participants were homemakers, 24% were unskilled laborers, 14% were skilled laborers, 9% were self-employed or having their own business.

#### Table 1: Distribution of physical and psychological violence (n=76)

Type of violence	Women(%)
Only lifetime psychological violence	15 (19.73)
Both lifetime and current psychological vio-	10 (13.15)
lence but no physical violence	
Both current and lifetime physical and psy-	32 (42.1)
chological violence	
Both lifetime physical and psychological vi-	14 (18.42)
olence and only current psychological vio-	
lence	
Both lifetime physical and psychological vi-	5 (6.5)
olence and no current violence	

#### Table 2: Distribution of sexual violence (n = 25)

Type of violence	Women (%)
Only current sexual violence	4 (16)
Only lifetime sexual violence	9 (36)
Both current and lifetime sexual violence	12 (48)

#### **Table 3: Perpetrators of Domestic Violence**

Perpetrators	Women (n=101) (%)
Husband	83 (82.17)
Other family members	18 (17.82)

# Table 4: Main reasons of domestic violence (n=101)

Main reason	Women (%)
Dowry related problem	18 (17.82)
Not having a male child	13 (12.87)
Doubting the fidelity	9 (8.91)
Alcohol addiction of husband	41 (40.59)
Not attending to household	8 (7.92)
Not earning for the family	7 (6.93)
Other reasons	5 (4.95)

# Table 5: Socio demographic characteristics andprevalence of domestic violence

Characteristics    Domestic $\vee i$ lence    P      Yes    No    value      Age group    5(33.33)    10(66.66)    <      21-25    10(55.55)    8(44.44)    0.05      26-30    32(53.86)    38(46.15)       31-35    15(51.72)    14(48.27)       36-40    14(45.71)    15(54.28)       41-45    7(41.17)    10(58.82)       46-49    2(20.00)    8(80.00)       Age at Marriage         < 18 years    52(68.08)    45(58.44)    <0.05      >18 years    52(68.03)    31(46.96)    <0.05      Primary    36(48.00)    39(52.00)       Secondary    10(35.71)    18(64.28)       Higher secondary    5(29.41)    12(44.44)       and above          Higher secondary    33(57.89)    24(42.00)    <0.05      Primary    33(57.66)    29(48.33)
Age group $5(33.33)$ $10(66.66)$ <
15-20 $5(33.33)$ $10(66.66)$ <
$21-25$ $10(55.55)$ $8(44.44)$ $0.05$ $26-30$ $32(53.86)$ $38(46.15)$ $31-35$ $15(51.72)$ $14(48.27)$ $36-40$ $14(45.71)$ $15(54.28)$ $41-45$ $7(41.17)$ $10(58.82)$ $46-49$ $2(20.00)$ $8(80.00)$ Age at Marriage< 18 years
$26-30$ $32(53.86)$ $38(46.15)$ $31-35$ $15(51.72)$ $14(48.27)$ $36-40$ $14(45.71)$ $15(54.28)$ $41-45$ $7(41.17)$ $10(58.82)$ $46-49$ $2(20.00)$ $8(80.00)$ Age at Marriage< 18 years
31-35 $15(51.72)$ $14(48.27)$ $36-40$ $14(45.71)$ $15(54.28)$ $41-45$ $7(41.17)$ $10(58.82)$ $46-49$ $2(20.00)$ $8(80.00)$ Age at Marriage $< 18$ years $32(68.08)$ $45(58.44)$ $<0.05$ >18 years $54(49.54)$ $55(50.44)$ Education of wifeIlliterate $35(53.03)$ $31(46.96)$ $<0.05$ Primary $36(48.00)$ $39(52.00)$ Secondary $10(35.71)$ $18(64.28)$ Higher secondary $5(29.41)$ $12(44.44)$ and above $=$ $=$ Education of husband $=$ Illiterate $33(57.89)$ $24(42.00)$ $<0.05$ Primary $31(51.66)$ $29(48.33)$
36-40 $14(45.71)$ $15(54.28)$ $41-45$ $7(41.17)$ $10(58.82)$ $46-49$ $2(20.00)$ $8(80.00)$ Age at Marriage $< 18 years$
41-45 $7(41.17)$ $10(58.82)$ $46-49$ $2(20.00)$ $8(80.00)$ Age at Marriage $(32,00)$ $(32,00)$ $<18$ years $32(68.08)$ $45(58.44)$ $<0.05$ >18 years $54(49.54)$ $55(50.44)$ $(58,22)$ Education of wife $(14,00)$ $(14,00)$ $(14,00)$ Illiterate $35(53.03)$ $31(46.96)$ $<0.05$ Primary $36(48.00)$ $39(52.00)$ $(14,00)$ Secondary $10(35.71)$ $18(64.28)$ $(14,00)$ Higher secondary $5(29.41)$ $12(44.44)$ $(14,00)$ and above $(14,00)$ $(14,00)$ $(14,00)$ Education of husband $(14,00)$ $(24,2.00)$ $(0.05)$ Primary $31(51.66)$ $29(48.33)$ $(14,00)$
46-49 $2(20.00)$ $8(80.00)$ Age at Marriage $< 18$ years $32(68.08)$ $45(58.44)$ $<0.05$ >18 years $54(49.54)$ $55(50.44)$ $<<Education of wifeIlliterateIlliterate35(53.03)31(46.96)<0.05Primary36(48.00)39(52.00)<<Secondary10(35.71)18(64.28)<Higher secondary5(29.41)12(44.44)<<and above<<<<><<<<<><Education of husband<<<<>><<<0.05Primary33(57.89)24(42.00)<0.05Primary31(51.66)29(48.33)<<<<<<<<<>>$
Age at Marriage  32(68.08)  45(58.44)  <0.05
< 18 years
>18 years  54(49.54)  55(50.44)    Education of wife
Education of wife  31(46.96)  <0.05
Primary  36(48.00)  39(52.00)    Secondary  10(35.71)  18(64.28)    Higher secondary  5(29.41)  12(44.44)    and above  Education of husband
Primary  36(48.00)  39(52.00)    Secondary  10(35.71)  18(64.28)    Higher secondary  5(29.41)  12(44.44)    and above
Secondary  10(35.71)  18(64.28)    Higher secondary  5(29.41)  12(44.44)    and above
and above    Education of husband    Illiterate  33(57.89)  24(42.00)  <0.05
Education of husbandIlliterate33(57.89)24(42.00)<0.05
Illiterate33(57.89)24(42.00)<0.05Primary31(51.66)29(48.33)
Primary 31(51.66) 29(48.33)
Secondary 12(32.43) 25(67.56)
Higher secondary and 10(31.25) 22(68.75)
above
Employment status of husband
Unemployed 26(81.25) 6(18.75) <0.05
Employed 60(38.96) 94(61.03)
Socio Economic status
Upper 8(40.00) 12(60.00) <0.05
Upper middle 12(37.50) 20(62.50)
Lower middle 15(45.45) 18(54.54)
Upper lower 18(47.36) 20(52.63)
Lower 33(52.38 30(47.61)
Duration of marriage
< 5 34(53.12) 30(46.80) <0.05
5-10 32(45.71) 38(54.20)
>10 20(38.46) 32(61.53) Figure in parenthesis indicate percentage

Figure in parenthesis indicate percentage

Among the husbands, 3.2% were unemployed, 4.8% were professionals, 21.6% were unskilled laborers, 21.3% were skilled laborers, 29.7% were self-employed.

Out of 186, 101 study participants reported domestic violence. Thus the overall prevalence of domestic violence was found to be 54.3%. Out of 101, 76 reported either physical or psychological violence. 42.1% reported both current and lifetime psychological violence [Table 1]. 25 out of 101 study participants reported sexual violence, out of which 48% reported both current and lifetime sexual violence [Table 2].

82.17% of the study participants who suffered domestic violence reported their husbands as the perpetrators, while 17.82% reported other perpetrators causing domestic violence [Table 3].

Dowry related problem (17.82%) and alcohol addiction of husband (40.59%) were reported to be the main reasons of domestic violence [Table 4].

Study participants of the age group (26-30yrs) reported a higher prevalence of domestic violence. Study participants who were married at less than 18 years of age reported a higher prevalence of domestic violence, compared to their counterparts who were married at more than 18 years of age.

Study participants with unemployed husbands reported a higher prevalence of domestic violence, compared to the study participants with employed husbands.

The prevalence of domestic decreased with increase in educational, socio economic status and duration of married life of the study participants.

These differences were found to be statistically significant [Table 5].

#### DISCUSSION

The present study reported an overall prevalence of 54.3%. 42.1% of study participants reported both current and lifetime physical and psychological violence and 48% reported both current and lifetime sexual violence.

A large multisite household survey [INCLEN], had found that about 44% women reported at least one psychologically abusive behavior and 40% reported at least one form of physical violence.<sup>6</sup> ICRW in partnership with INCLEN, found the prevalence of psychological and physical violence to be 43.5% and 40.3% respectively.<sup>8</sup>

A study conducted in Kerala by Saradomoni K, found the prevalence of lifetime physical and psychological violence to be 35.7% and 64.9% respectively.<sup>9</sup> Another study conducted in Bangalore slums, found the prevalence of lifetime and current domestic violence to be 56% and 27% respectively.<sup>10</sup>

According to this study, husbands were the usual perpetrators of violence (82.17%), similar findings was seen in NFHS-3 and other studies from different states of India.<sup>11-13</sup>

Among the socio-demographic variables examined, ICRW found low levels of education to be associated with domestic violence with highest level of significance. Similar significant findings was seen in a study conducted in Gwalior city in 2009 by Mishra et al .<sup>14</sup> Saradamoni .K found that both forms of violence were inversely associated with education and employment levels of the women and their husbands, which was also observed in the present study.

In the present study, as the socio economic status of the family decreased a higher prevalence of domestic violence was seen. Studies carried out by other researchers also reported similar significant association.<sup>15-19</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

The overall prevalence of domestic violence was found to be 54.3%. Statistically significant association was seen with literacy status of women and their husband, socioeconomic status.

In this context, more stringent actions to be taken through Government legislation, making women more self-reliant by increasing their literacy rate, financially stable, community mobilizing strategies creating community awareness can be recommended.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Violence against women: A priority health issue. WHO/FRH/WHO/97.8. Geneva: World Health Organization;1997.
- 2. Koenig MA, Lutalo T, Zhao F, Nalugoda F, Wabmire-Mangen F, Kiwanuka N etal.,Domestic violence in rural Uganda: Evidence from a community based study. Bull World Health Organ 2003;81:53-60.
- 3. World Health Organisation. World Report on Violence and Health. WHO. Available from: http://www.who.int/violence\_injury\_prevention/violence /world\_report/en/ [Last cited on 2016 June 4<sup>th</sup>].
- World Health Organisation. Global and Regional Estimates of violence against women. WHO. Available from: http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/vio lence/978924156/en/ [Last cited on 2016 June 4<sup>th</sup>].
- International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS). Macro International. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3) 2005-2006; India. vol 1. Mumbai: International Institute for Population Sciences; 2007.

- Pandey MK, Singh P, Yadav RA. Domestic violence and Women's Health in India: Evidence from Health Survey. University Library of Munich, Germany; 2008. Available from: http://www.core.ac.uk/download/pdf/12020342pdf [Last cited on 2016 June 4<sup>th</sup>].
- United Nations Population Fund. A Practical Approach to Gender-based violence. UNFPA. Available from: http://www.unfpa.org/resources/practical-approachgender-basedviolence [Last cited on 2016 June 4<sup>th</sup>].
- International Clinical Epidemiological Network(INCLEN). Domestic violence in India. A summary report of a multisite household survey 2000. Available from: http://www.icrw.org/docs/Domesticviolence [Last accessed on 2016 June 4<sup>th</sup>].
- Burton B, Duvvury N, Varia N. Domestic violence in India: A summary report of four records studies 2000. Available from: http://www.icrw.org/docs/DV2.pdf. [Last accessed on June 4<sup>th</sup>].
- Saradamoni K. Kerala Society and Politics: International Congress on Kerala studies. Vol 1. AKG centre for Research Studies. 1994. Available from: http://www.cds.edu/download\_files/344.pdf [Last accessed on 2016 June 4<sup>th</sup>].
- Rocca CH, Rathod S, Fallet, Rohini P, Pande RP, Krishnan S. Challenging assumptions about women empowerment: social and economic resources and domestic violence among young married women in urban South India. Int J Epidemiol 2008; 38:577-85.
- 12. Sinha A, Malik S, Sangal D, Dasgupta S, Pal D, Mukherjee A. Domestic violence among ever married women of reproductive age group in a slum area of Kolkata. Indian J Public Health 2012; 56:31-6.
- Kaur S, Patidar AB, Sharma S. Domestic violence and its contributory factors among married women in selected slums of Ludhiana, Punjab. Nurs Midwifery Res J 2014; 10:30-35.
- 14. Sarkar M. A study on domestic violence against adult and adolescent females in a rural area of West Bengal. Indian J Community Med 2010; 35:311-315.
- 15. World Health Organization. WHO Multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence against women: Initial results on prevalence, health outcomes and women's responses. Geneva: WHO; 2005.
- Kimuna SR, Djamba YK, Ciciurkaite G, Cherukuri S. Domestic Violence in India: Insights from 2005-2006 national family health survey. J Interpres Violence 2013; 28:773-807.
- 17. Babu BY, Kar SK. Domestic violence against women in eastern India: A population based study on prevalence and related issues. BMC Public Health 2009; 9:129.
- Jeyaseelan L, Kumar S, Neelakantan N, Peedicyil A, Pillai R, Duvvey N. Physical spousal violence against women in India: Some risk factors. J Biosoc Sci 2007; 39:657-70.
- Shrivastava PS, Shrivastava SR. A study of spousal domestic violence in an urban slum of Mumbai. Int J Prev Med 2013; 4:27-32.