

# Reevaluating Health Metrics: A Multi-Dimensional Approach Beyond BMI

Raja Danasekaran<sup>1\*</sup>, Vedapriya Dande Rajasekar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Chettinad Hospital & Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research & Education, Kelambakkam, Tamil Nadu, India

DOI: 10.55489/njcm.141020233272

## ABSTRACT

Body Mass Index (BMI) has long served as a conventional metric to assess overall health, but its limitations have spurred a demand for more comprehensive health evaluation tools. This article investigates the shortcomings of BMI, such as its disregard for body composition, age, gender disparities, and potential ethnic biases. By focusing on alternative indicators of health, such as waist-to-hip ratio, body composition analysis, and cardiorespiratory fitness, we highlight the need for a more holistic health assessment. Emphasizing personalized healthcare, this article advocates for integrating various health metrics to gain a deeper understanding of an individual's well-being, leading to more accurate diagnoses and tailored interventions. Ultimately, adopting a multi-dimensional approach beyond BMI offers a promising avenue for enhancing healthcare practices and improving patient outcomes.

**Keywords:** Body Mass Index (BMI), health assessment, body composition, waist-to-hip ratio, cardiorespiratory fitness, holistic health

## ARTICLE INFO

**Financial Support:** None declared

**Conflict of Interest:** None declared

**Received:** 27-07-2023, **Accepted:** 11-09-2023, **Published:** 01-10-2023

**\*Correspondence:** Dr. Raja Danasekaran (Email: rajadanasekaran@gmail.com)

**How to cite this article:** Danasekaran R, Rajasekar VD. Reevaluating Health Metrics: A Multi-Dimensional Approach Beyond BMI. Natl J Community Med 2023;14(10):697-699. DOI: 10.55489/njcm.141020233272

**Copy Right:** The Authors retain the copyrights of this article, with first publication rights granted to Medsci Publications.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike (CC BY-SA) 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, adapt, and build upon the work commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

www.njcmindia.com | pISSN09763325 | eISSN22296816 | Published by Medsci Publications

## INTRODUCTION

Body Mass Index (BMI) has been widely used as a tool to assess an individual's overall health for decades.<sup>1</sup> It is a simple numerical measure that correlates body weight to height and is often used to categorize individuals into different weight status categories. However, the increasing focus on personalized healthcare and advancements in medical research have sparked debates on the accuracy and appropriateness of BMI as a sole indicator of health.<sup>2</sup> This article delves into the limitations and criticisms of BMI as a measure of overall health, exploring alternative metrics that may provide a more comprehensive evaluation of an individual's well-being.

## LIMITATIONS OF BMI

**Does Not Account for Body Composition:** One of the major flaws of BMI is its failure to differentiate between different types of body mass.<sup>3</sup> BMI solely takes into account the total body weight without considering the distribution of weight between muscle mass, fat mass, and bone mass. As a result, individuals with similar BMI scores may have vastly different body compositions.<sup>4</sup> For instance, athletes or individuals with higher muscle mass may fall into the overweight or obese BMI category despite having a low percentage of body fat.

Researchers have highlighted the importance of considering body composition when assessing health risks.<sup>5</sup> High levels of visceral fat, which are not captured by BMI, have been associated with increased risks of metabolic disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and other health problems.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, using BMI alone may lead to misdiagnosis or misclassification of individuals' health status.

**Age and Gender Disparities:** BMI's application is universal for adults, disregarding age and gender.<sup>7</sup> However, the relationship between body weight, height, and health may vary significantly depending on these factors. For instance, as individuals age, they tend to lose muscle mass and bone density while gaining fat, which can influence their health risks.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, studies have shown that BMI's association with mortality differs between men and women.<sup>9</sup> Using a one-size-fits-all approach may obscure vital health information for specific demographic groups.

**Ethnic and Racial Bias:** BMI's origin was based on studies conducted primarily on white populations, leading to potential ethnic and racial biases.<sup>10</sup> Research has shown that certain ethnic groups, such as Asian and African populations, may have different body compositions at similar BMI levels. These disparities raise questions about the appropriateness of using the same BMI thresholds for all racial and ethnic backgrounds. Failing to account for these differences can lead to inaccurate health assessments and

inadequate healthcare recommendations for specific populations.

## OTHER INDICATORS OF HEALTH

**Waist-to-Hip Ratio (WHR):** Waist-to-hip ratio is a measurement that compares the circumference of the waist to that of the hips. It is a useful indicator of fat distribution and has been associated with various health risks.<sup>11</sup> A higher WHR indicates an android or apple-shaped body, where more fat is concentrated around the abdomen. This central obesity has been linked to increased risks of cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes, and other health issues.

**Body Composition Analysis:** To overcome BMI's limitation in assessing body composition, body composition analysis methods are becoming more popular in healthcare settings.<sup>12</sup> Techniques such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), and air displacement plethysmography (ADP) provide a more accurate breakdown of an individual's body mass into fat, muscle, bone, and water compartments. This data helps healthcare professionals better understand an individual's health status and design personalized interventions accordingly.

**Cardiorespiratory Fitness:** Cardiorespiratory fitness, measured by maximum oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub> max), is an essential indicator of overall health and fitness.<sup>13</sup> It reflects the body's ability to transport and utilize oxygen during physical activity. Research has consistently shown that higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness are associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular diseases, all-cause mortality, and improved overall health outcomes. VO<sub>2</sub> max testing provides valuable information about an individual's cardiovascular health, independent of their BMI.

## THE NEED FOR A COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH ASSESSMENT

Given the limitations of BMI and the existence of alternative health indicators, there is a strong case for adopting a more comprehensive approach to health assessment.<sup>14</sup> A single metric, such as BMI, can only provide a limited snapshot of an individual's health status. A comprehensive evaluation that incorporates various indicators, including waist-to-hip ratio, body composition analysis, and cardiorespiratory fitness, can offer a more accurate and nuanced understanding of an individual's overall health.

By considering multiple health markers, healthcare professionals can tailor interventions and recommendations to address specific health risks more effectively. For example, if a person falls into the "Overweight" BMI category but has a favourable waist-to-hip ratio and high cardiorespiratory fitness, their health risks may be significantly lower than

suggested by BMI alone. In contrast, an individual with a "Normal" BMI but unfavourable body composition and low cardiorespiratory fitness may require targeted interventions to improve their health.

## CONCLUSION

While BMI has been a widely used tool to assess overall health for many years, its limitations and criticisms cannot be ignored.<sup>15</sup> The failure to account for body composition, age, gender, and ethnic differences make it an incomplete measure of an individual's health status. As personalized healthcare gains momentum, it is crucial to explore alternative metrics that provide a more comprehensive evaluation of overall health.

Measuring waist-to-hip ratio, conducting body composition analysis, and evaluating cardiorespiratory fitness are some of the methods that can complement BMI and offer a more accurate representation of health. By incorporating these additional indicators, healthcare professionals can develop tailored interventions and make more informed decisions regarding individual health management. A comprehensive health assessment can lead to improved health outcomes and a more targeted approach to preventive care and health promotion.

## REFERENCES

1. Abete I, Astrup A, Martínez JA, Thorsdottir I, Zulet MA. Obesity and the metabolic syndrome: role of different dietary macronutrient distribution patterns and specific nutritional components on weight loss and maintenance. *Nutr Rev*. 2010;68(4):214-231.
2. Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. Waist circumference and not body mass index explains obesity-related health risk. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;79(3):379-384.
3. Lee CG, Boyko EJ, Strotmeyer ES, et al. Association between insulin resistance and lean mass loss and fat mass gain in older men without diabetes mellitus. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2011; 59(7):1217-1224.
4. Lee K, Lee S, Kim YJ, Kim SH, Jung YS. Which obesity indicators are better predictors of metabolic risk?: Healthy Twin Study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2007;15(3):763-771.
5. Lee S, Kuk JL, Kim Y, Arslanian SA. Measures of adiposity and insulin resistance in childhood obesity. *Insulin sensitivity in childhood obesity*. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006;91(5): 2119-2125.
6. Stamatakis E, Hamer M, Dunstan DW. Screen-based entertainment time, all-cause mortality, and cardiovascular events: population-based study with ongoing mortality and hospital events follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57(3):292-299.
7. Du T, Sun X, Huo R, et al. Age- and sex-specific optimal body compositions for predicting the 10-year incidence of cardiovascular disease-free survival: a cohort study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2019;19(1):157.
8. Vissers D, Hens W, Taeymans J, Baeyens JP, Poortmans J, Van Gaal L. The effect of exercise on visceral adipose tissue in overweight adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(2):e56415.
9. Kim NH, Kim TJ, Kim NH, Choi KM, Baik SH, Choi DS, et al. Body mass index and mortality in the general population and in subjects with chronic disease in Korea: a nationwide cohort study (2002-2010). *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0139924.
10. Ntuk UE, Celis-Morales CA, Mackay DF, et al. Association between grip strength and diabetes prevalence in black, South-Asian, and white European ethnic groups: a cross-sectional analysis of 418 656 participants in the UK Biobank study. *Diabet Med*. 2017;34(8):1120-1128.
11. Huxley R, Mendis S, Zheleznyakov E, Reddy S, Chan J. Body mass index, waist circumference and waist:hip ratio as predictors of cardiovascular risk--a review of the literature. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2010;64(1):16-22.
12. Abete I, Gómez-Uriz AM, Mansego ML, et al. Association between different obesity measures and the risk of stroke in the PREDIMED trial. *Eur J Nutr*. 2019;58(6):2297-2308.
13. Ross R, Berentzen T, Bradshaw AJ, et al. Does the relationship between waist circumference, morbidity and mortality depend on measurement protocol for waist circumference? *Obes Rev*. 2008;9(4):312-325.
14. Sui X, LaMonte MJ, Blair SN. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a predictor of nonfatal cardiovascular events in asymptomatic women and men. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;165(12):1413-1423.
15. Guasch-Ferré M, Ruiz-Canela M, Li J, et al. Olive oil intake and risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality in the PREDIMED Study. *BMC Med*. 2014;12:78.